

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/518,038	12/13/2005	Shoou-I Wang	2443.002US2	3598	
21188 7590 06042009 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GREGORIO, GUINEVER S		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@slwip.com scape@slwip.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

A P 4' M -	A 15 17 - 3	
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,038	WANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
GUINEVER S. GREGORIO	1793	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 26 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) x will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.14(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) rejected: 1-28.

- 11. \(\bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______13. Other:

/Melvin Curtis Mayes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /GUINEVER S GREGORIO/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would not have combined Allam and Horton to prevent metal dusting because Allam teaches a secondary gas heated catalytic reformer at 1305 degrees Celcius. Examiner agrees that statement is partially correct. Examiner believes applicant is refering to paragraph 78 which teaches the partial oxidation gas that enters the gas heated reformer is at 1305 degrees celcius and leaves the gas heat erformer at a temperature at about 500 degrees celcius (paragraph 79). Allam further teaches that synthesis gas is produced at temperature at 500 to 600 degrees celcius. Examiner takes the position that one of ordinary skill in the art would cool the partial oxidation stream to mitigate the metal dusting caused by the heat. Examiner takes the position that metal dusting is a well known phenomenon in the art and that multiple known methods are utilized to prevent or mitigate metal dusting. Examiner cited Prasad et al., paragraph 23 to provide evidence that multiple known between the carbot monoxide content of the gas stream. Hotton's method accomplishes both reducing the teacher various the carbot monoxide content of the gas stream. Hotton's method accomplishes both reducing the temperature and altering the carbot monoxide content of the gas stream. Examiner agrees that the reaction temperature of 500 degrees is within the temperature range known for metal dusting, but Examiner takes the position that the effects of metal dusting will be decreased because of the lower temperature and the alteration in age scontent.